

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2 -----x  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

3 versus

08 cr 0288(SJ)

4 THOMAS ARCHER AND RUKHSANA RAFIQUE,

5 DEFENDANTS.

U.S. Courthouse  
Brooklyn, New York

6 -----x  
October 24th, 2008

7 9:30 a. m.

8  
9 TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE

10 Before THE HONORABLE STERLING JOHNSON,

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12 APPEARANCES

13 Representing the Government: Benton J. Campbell  
United States Attorney  
14 Eastern District of New York  
One Pierrepont Plaza  
15 Brooklyn, New York 11201  
BY: ANDREW E. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.

16 Representing the defendants: ALOMAR & ASSOCIATES, PC  
17 (For Thomas Archer)  
60-89 Myrtle Avenue, 2nd Floor  
18 Ridgewood, NY 11385  
BY: KARINA E. ALOMAR, ESQ.

19 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NY, INC.  
20 (For Rukhsana Rafique)  
16 Court Street  
21 Brooklyn, NY 11216  
BY: MILDRED M. WHALEN, ESQ.

22 REPORTED BY:  
Lisa Schmid, CCR, RMR  
23 225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
24 Phone: 718-613-2644 Fax: 718-613-2379  
Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript  
25 produced by computer-aided transcription.

LISA SCHMID, CCR, RMR  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 THE COURT: Be seated.

2 THE CLERK: United States versus Thomas Archer and  
3 Rukhsana Rafique.

4 MR. GOLDSMITH: Good morning, Judge.

5 THE CLERK: Counsel, state your appearances.

6 MR. GOLDSMITH: Andrew Goldsmith for the government.  
7 Good morning, Your Honor.

8 MS. ALOMAR: Karina Alomar on behalf of Mr. Archer.

9 MS. WHALEN: Federal Defenders of New York by Mildred  
10 Whalen for Rukhsana Rafique.

11 THE COURT: What's the story on this?

12 MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, the last time we were  
13 here, we were under some discussion with the defense. They  
14 wanted to know whether we would be introducing expert  
15 testimony. We do not anticipate putting in what we believe is  
16 expert testimony.

17 As you recall, this is a visa fraud case. The  
18 defendants filed on behalf of a number of other people --

19 THE COURT: Visa official documents, as opposed to the  
20 credit card?

21 MR. GOLDSMITH: That's correct, Your Honor.

22 There are -- we have turned over, I believe, more than  
23 150 applications filed by the defendants or prepared by the  
24 defendants.

25 We do anticipate putting on someone from ICE, who will

1     testify in two areas: One, about general terms about the rules  
2     of the program to which these applications were made. And then  
3     secondly, will testify in the manner of a summary exhibit as to  
4     what he found when he reviewed the applications. He will not  
5     --

6             THE COURT: Policies and practices, basically?

7             MR. GOLDSMITH: In part. On the first side. This is  
8     a program where people could apply to have their status  
9     adjusted, and he will testify as to what the rules to qualify  
10    for the program are.

11            On the second part, on the actual applications, he  
12    will testify as to patterns that he observed in the  
13    applications. He will not testify to any opinion about whether  
14    those patterns are evidence of fraud or anything like that.  
15    He'll just testify factually as to what was contained in the  
16    applications.

17            THE COURT: Okay.

18            MS. WHALEN: Your Honor, I think we would request an  
19    in limine motion schedule at this point.

20            First, we would object to this agent testifying  
21    because we believe he's going to go beyond policies and  
22    practices, so we would like to brief that issue.

23            The second issue that we would want to deal with is,  
24    with this great number of applications, the 150 that came from  
25    the office, I believe the government in that summary chart is

1 going to be introducing them not only to show similarities  
2 between those applications, but I think there's also going to  
3 be a 404(b) motion with respect to those, which we would also  
4 want to brief, and try to limit the number of other  
5 applications.

6 THE COURT: But at the present, there is no 404(b)  
7 application, is there?

8 MS. WHALEN: No. Just we orally discussed it. The  
9 government's given us oral notice.

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 MS. WHALEN: None of this has been formally presented  
12 to us. These are our discussions, but I guess that's why I'm  
13 asking for a motion schedule.

14 THE COURT: What date do you want for your motions?

15 MS. WHALEN: I guess if we could get a letter from the  
16 government, outlining what they intend to present.

17 THE COURT: Give me a date for the motions.

18 MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, if I could mention one  
19 other thing? Currently, the indictment has one conspiracy  
20 count and three substantive counts. So each substantive count  
21 refers to a specific application.

22 If we do go to trial, the government intends to  
23 supersede and add --

24 THE COURT: You'll supersede before you go to trial?

25 MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, Your Honor.

1           And add some relatively small number of additional  
2 substantive counts, addressing additional applications -- not a  
3 hundred.

4           THE COURT: Well, let me deal with this right now, and  
5 if you are going to supersede, I do not want to delay this  
6 matter, waiting for the government to supersede. Let's do it  
7 and get it over with.

8           MR. GOLDSMITH: Very well, Your Honor.

9           THE COURT: Now, we will give you a date for motions.  
10          When can you supersede?

11          MR. GOLDSMITH: Within 30 days, Your Honor.

12          MS. WHALEN: Your Honor, I've spoken to my colleague.  
13 She -- because she'll be out of the office for some portion of  
14 December, so we're asking for the 12th of December -- or  
15 November. We're asking for the 12th of December for our  
16 motions, and at this point, we should have the superseded  
17 indictment.

18          THE COURT: Okay. When does the government want to  
19 respond?

20          MR. GOLDSMITH: Two weeks, Your Honor.

21          THE COURT: That's the 24th, Christmas Eve?

22          THE CLERK: Twenty-sixth.

23          MS. WHALEN: That's the day after.

24          MR. GOLDSMITH: That's fine, Your Honor.

25          THE COURT: You will have it in before then, huh? And

1     then we'll put it down for a status conference sometime in  
2     January. By that time, a superseding should be filed by then,  
3     huh?

4             MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, Your Honor.

5             MS. WHALEN: I just, for one, I know that  
6     Mr. Goldsmith is a new U. S. Attorney, but I don't personally  
7     believe in torture. So if he wants to put his response over  
8     into the first week of January, I would have no objection to  
9     that.

10            MR. GOLDSMITH: That's fine, Your Honor.

11            THE COURT: Okay. I will deem motions have been made  
12     now, so time will be excluded.

13            MR. GOLDSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

14            MS. ALOMAR: Your Honor --

15            THE COURT: Let's get a date for January, for the  
16     government's response.

17            THE CLERK: January 9th. And so -- for the  
18     government's response.

19            THE COURT: Counsel, you want to say something?

20            MS. ALOMAR: Yes, Your Honor.

21            Previously, a request was made to the government,  
22     where we requested that they provide us with cooperation  
23     agreements that they have for their witnesses. To date, we  
24     haven't received it.

25            In addition to that, at the last status conference

1     that we had, we had made a request that the government provide  
2     the A file for Mr. Surgi Singh.

3             THE COURT: First of all, cooperation agreement,  
4     that's 3500 material, isn't it?

5             MS. ALOMAR: Correct.

6             THE COURT: You're not entitled to that under Rule 16.

7             MS. ALOMAR: Well, we're entitled to Giglio material.  
8     We believe that would have Giglio material.

9             THE COURT: But you don't know.

10            You want to say something about that?

11            MR. GOLDSMITH: Just what Your Honor said, that it  
12     would be 3500. As to the Giglio material, if there is anything  
13     we're obligated to turn over, we'll do so.

14            THE COURT: Okay. What else are you looking for?

15            MS. ALOMAR: Your Honor, during the last court  
16     appearance, we had made a request that we be provided with the  
17     A file for Mr. Surgi Singh. Mr. Surgi Singh was an employee of  
18     Mr. Archer. Mr. Surgi Singh conducted many of the interviews,  
19     especially the ones that are a result of the indictment.

20            And we have discovered, through our own investigation,  
21     that the government agents have, in fact, spoken to Surgi  
22     Singh, and we have been advised by the government that they  
23     will not be calling Mr. Surgi Singh as a witness. But we  
24     believe that his file will contain possible, you know, possible  
25     has Brady material as to this case, and we are requesting that

1 file.

2 MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, I hadn't understood that  
3 the defense believed that the file contained Brady material.

4 I had spoken to ICE about providing the file to  
5 defense counsel. ICE tells me that if defense counsel obtained  
6 a Court-ordered subpoena for that file, that ICE would move to  
7 quash it. They -- ICE will not permit me to turn the file over  
8 to defense counsel. If defense counsel wants to obtain that  
9 subpoena, we can litigate that issue, but it's not -- I cannot  
10 turn that file over.

11 MS. ALOMAR: Your Honor, I also would like to make a  
12 notation that one of the documents that has been provided by  
13 the government in discovery is a letter, and in that letter, it  
14 states that what resulted in the investigation was a letter  
15 that the government received where it states that Mr. Surgi  
16 Singh, who works for Mr. Archer, was an individual who was  
17 performing visa fraud. So we believe that this material is  
18 necessary for the defense, and we would be requesting this  
19 information.

20 MS. WHALEN: Your Honor, could we provide you with a  
21 Court-ordered subpoena for the A file, and if the government  
22 would accept service on behalf of INS, then they could move to  
23 quash, and we could come back.

24 THE COURT: That's what I suggest. You ask for a  
25 subpoena. I will sign it. They'll be a motion to quash.

1 We'll litigate it, and then we'll see what happens. Okay?

2 MS. WHALEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 MR. GOLDSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Anything else?

5 MR. GOLDSMITH: No, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Next date is --

7 THE CLERK: January 16th, at 9:30.

8 THE COURT: In the interim, if there is going to be a  
9 superseding, let's have it by then.

10 MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Now, the other thing is, how long would  
12 this trial take?

13 MR. GOLDSMITH: I think approximately a week, Your  
14 Honor, for the government.

15 THE COURT: Okay. How long is the defense?

16 MS. WHALEN: Your Honor, I think the defense case  
17 would probably only be a day or two more, so I don't think more  
18 than a week and-a-half.

19 THE COURT: You're talking about seven to ten days?

20 MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

22 MS. WHALEN: Thank you.

23 MR. GOLDSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 (Proceedings concluded.)

25